

<b>LFC Requester:</b>	Eric Chenier
-----------------------	--------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS  
2015 REGULAR SESSION**

**WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:**

[LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV](mailto:LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV)

*and*

[DFA@STATE.NM.US](mailto:DFA@STATE.NM.US)

*{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}*

**SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

*{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}*

Check all that apply: **Date** February 12, 2015  
**Original**     **Amendment**        **Bill No:** HB 397-305  
**Correction**     **Substitute**   

**Sponsor:** Rep. Jimmie C. Hall    **Agency Code:** Attorney General's Office  
**Short**    Concealed Gun Fingerprint    **Person Writing**    Jennifer Armijo Hughes, AAG  
**Title:**    Requirement    **Phone:** 222-9000    **Email** jhughes@nmag.gov

**SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

**APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY15	FY16		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

**REVENUE (dollars in thousands)**

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY15	FY16	FY17		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

**ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	<b>FY15</b>	<b>FY16</b>	<b>FY17</b>	<b>3 Year Total Cost</b>	<b>Recurring or Nonrecurring</b>	<b>Fund Affected</b>
<b>Total</b>						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Conflicts with/Relates to: HB 106 and SB 118  
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act:

**SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

This analysis is neither a formal Attorney General’s Opinion nor an Attorney General’s Advisory Letter. This is a staff analysis in response to an agency’s, committee’s, or legislator’s request.

**BILL SUMMARY**

Synopsis: HB 397 is an act relating to concealed handgun carry. The bill amends Section 29-19-6 NMSA 1978 by removing the requirement of providing a new set of fingerprints when a person applies for renewal of a concealed handgun license after it has been expired for sixty days.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

N/A

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

N/A

**PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

N/A

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

N/A

**CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

HB 106 and SB 118 also seek to eliminate the fingerprint requirement upon renewal of a concealed handgun license. However, HB 106 and SB 118 seek to increase the time from 60 days to 90 days for a person to be able to apply for renewal of a license, while HB 397 leaves it at 60 days. Both HB 106 and SB 118 seek to eliminate the two-year refresher firearms training course, while HB 397 leaves that requirement intact.

**TECHNICAL ISSUES**

N/A

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES**

N/A

**WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL**

**Status quo**

**AMENDMENTS**

**N/A**