

LFC Requester:	Conner Jorgensen
----------------	------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS  
2015 REGULAR SESSION**

**WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:**

[LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV](mailto:LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV)

*and*

[DFA@STATE.NM.US](mailto:DFA@STATE.NM.US)

*{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}*

**SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

*{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}*

*Check all that apply:*

**Original**     **Amendment**      
**Correction**     **Substitute**   

**Date** January , 2015  
**Bill No:** SB354

**Sponsor:** Sen. Daniel A. Ivey-Soto      **Agency Code:** Attorney General's Office  
**Short**      Change Precinct Boards      **Person Writing**      Caroline Manierre , AAG  
**Title:**      to Election Boards      **Phone:** 505-827-6079      **Email** cmanierre@nmag.gov

**SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

**APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY15	FY16		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

**REVENUE (dollars in thousands)**

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY15	FY16	FY17		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

**ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	<b>FY15</b>	<b>FY16</b>	<b>FY17</b>	<b>3 Year Total Cost</b>	<b>Recurring or Nonrecurring</b>	<b>Fund Affected</b>
<b>Total</b>						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:  
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act:

**SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

This analysis is neither a formal Attorney General’s Opinion nor an Attorney General’s Advisory Letter. This is a staff analysis in response to an agency’s, committee’s, or legislator’s request.

**BILL SUMMARY**

Synopsis:

Senate Bill 354 is an act changing the term “Precinct Board” to “Election Board” throughout the election code.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS** N/A

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES:** None

**PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS:** N/A

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS:** N/A

**CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP:**

- House Bill 61, House Bill 150, and Senate Bill 195 amend some of the same sections of the election code as Senate Bill 354. The amendments do not appear to conflict between these bills and Senate Bill 354.

**TECHNICAL ISSUES:** N/A

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES:** N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:** N/A

**WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL:** Status quo

**AMENDMENTS:** N/A

